Data Analysis on Registered Workers

Trade : Marble Worker

For the period 1/1/2017 to 31/12/2017 (12 months)

Register of vortices Registered workers and age profile are different that hardware, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than hardware, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than hardware, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than hardware, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the datas extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the datas extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the datas extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the datas extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the dynamic nature of the datas extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers, may differ signly due to the	Desistana	d Workers	DCM	Detie	DCC	Detie	DCM/	Datia	ΔII	Datia	
Part	Registere		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All	Ratio	
SST 44.89% 13 5.11% 372 1.20 1											
Part		end	1710	95.05%	89	4.95%			1799		
Comparison of compiled registeration from previous particles 347 80.05% 8 19.05% 1 354 1.05%		total renewal	353	94.89%	19	5.11%			372		
Comparison of compiled registeration from previous particles 347 80.05% 8 19.05% 1 354 1.05%		new	3	75.00%	1	25.00%			4		
Part		expired	34	80 95%	8				42		
Page		•									
against nr. of registered workers PAR = 0 against nr. of registered workers Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ significant to the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and n		Torrowal or expired regionation from previous periods	0+1	00.0270		1.0070		l	004		
against nr. of registered workers PAR = 0 against nr. of registered workers Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ signify due to the dynamic nature of the data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different than the numbers may differ significant to the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and the numbers of the data extraction for registering and n	$DAP \sim 0$		DCW	Patio	Dec	Patio	PCW/	Patio	ΔII		
Age Profile dates of date extraction for registered workers and age profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers may differ stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers stiglety due to the dynamic neture of the date and profile size different thus the numbers with 133 successful interviews. DAR - 0 working days per week with card from Oct 2017 - Jan 2018 - S23 samples drawn with 133 successful i	DAIN > 0						NGW	Italio			
Service Restrict										00.000/	
Against nr. of registered workers 278 50.65% 28 31.49% 30.46% 1799 17.01%		against nr. of registered workers	1710	84.56%	89	69.66%			1799	83.82%	
Against nr. of registered workers 278 50.65% 28 31.49% 30.46% 1799 17.01%											
Apr DAR > 0	DAR = 0		RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All		
Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and see profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dysamic nature of the data set) RSW RSS R8tio RCW R8tio R8ti R8tio RCW R8tio R8ti R8tio RCW R8tio R8ti R8tio RCW R8tio R8ti R8tio RCW			278	90.85%	28	9.15%			306		
Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dysamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dysamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dysamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dysamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dysamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ slightly due to the dysamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for the dysamic nature of the data set) Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered working days per week with cast of record workers and page of more week with cast of record Age Profile Age Profi		against nr. of registered workers	1710	16.26%	89	31.46%			1799	17.01%	
Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus. the currents may differ slightly due to this dynamic nature of the data set? Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus. the currents may differ slightly due to this dynamic nature of the data set? Profile Profil					•	•	•		•		
Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus. the currents may differ slightly due to this dynamic nature of the data set? Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus. the currents may differ slightly due to this dynamic nature of the data set? Profile Profil	DAR > 0		RSW		RSS		RGW		All		
Age Profile (dates of data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thus the numbers may differ elightly due to the dynamic nature of the data set) Residual Re		average working days per week									
Sell		arciago nonang aayo por noon		1	1.00	1			20		
Sell	As Deelle (dates of data substation for a sistered under and an applicant different than the sistered under a sistered under										
Bedow 20	Age Fluii	le (dates di data extraction for registered workers and age profile are different thi								Datia	
20 29		D-100					KGW	Ratio			
30 - 39											
40 - 49 638 89.61% 9 1.39% 647 55.99% 600 50.99% 600 50.99% 61 2.01% 62.90% 62.90% 600 50.99% 61 2.01% 62.90% 62.90% 600 62.90%											
So 69 69 69 29% 11 179% 630 35.02% 70 7170 50.05% 5 2.01% 729 713.04% 729 713.04% 729 713.04% 729 713.04% 729 713.04% 729 713.04% 729 713.04% 729 713.04% 729 713.04% 729 729.04%											
60 or above 70 or above 244 97.99% 5 2.01% 249 17.99 100.00%					9						
Bo or above 244 97,99% 5 2.01% 249 13.84% 1709 100.00%		50 - 59	619	98.25%	11	1.75%			630	35.02%	
Total Total Total Total Total So.55% 89 4.95% 1799 100,00%		60 or above	244	97.99%	5	2.01%			249	13.84%	
Phone Survey conducted from Oct 2017 - Jan 2018 - 525 samples drawn with 133 successful Interviews RSW RSS RGW All											
RSW											
RSW	Phone Survey conducted from Oct 2017 - Jan 2018 - 525 samples drawn with 133 successful intensions										
Section Sect	Frione Survey Conducted from Oct 2017 - Jan 2010 - 323 Samples drawn with 133 Successful Interviews										
Section Sect	DAR: C		DOM	1	DCC		DCM/	1	Λ11		
A 99 A 31 A 96 B 2	DAK > 0			1			KGW				
DAR = 0 working in construction industry average working days per week with card record 3.6											
A		with card record	4.99		4.31				4.96		
A											
Automate	DAR = 0	working in construction industry		63.42%		52.20%				62.39%	
1.20			3.67		4.96				3.79		
DAR Snapshot average nr. of workers on any one day A34 95.81% 19 4.19% A19% A19 A19%											
A34 95.81% 19 4.19% 4.53		min dara rodora	1.20		2.00			l	1.00		
A34 95.81% 19 4.19% 4.53											
A34 95.81% 19 4.19% 4.53	DAD Coo	nahat	DCW	Datia	DCC	Datia	DCW/	Datia	ΔII		
RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RSW	DAK Sha						RGW	Rallo			
1051 96.16% 42 3.84% 1093 1087 97.62% 25 96.15% 1 3.85% 26 2.38% 26 2.38% 25 96.15% 1 3.85% 26 2.38% 26 2.38% 25 96.15% 1 3.85% 26 2.38% 26		average nr. of workers on any one day	434	95.81%	19	4.19%			453		
1051 96.16% 42 3.84% 1093 1087 97.62% 25 96.15% 1 3.85% 26 2.38% 26 2.38% 25 96.15% 1 3.85% 26 2.38% 26 2.38% 25 96.15% 1 3.85% 26 2.38% 26											
with card record without card record without card record without card record without card record and the construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record without work with skill content with work with skill content work	For DAR						RGW	Ratio			
Section Could find work		projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day	1051	96.16%	42	3.84%			1093		
RSW		with card record	1026	96.16%	41	3.84%			1067	97.62%	
RSW		without card record	25	96.15%	1	3.85%			26	2.38%	
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry with card record without card record without card record 92 89.32% 11 10.68% 103 36 34.95% 36 34.95% 36 36.20 0.00% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 67 65.05%					•	•	•		•		
Projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry with card record without card record without card record 92 89.32% 11 10.68% 103 36 34.95% 36 34.95% 36 36.20 0.00% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 67 65.05%	For DAR	= 0	RSW	Ratio	RSS	Ratio	RGW	Ratio	All		
projected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record without card record 30 83.33% 6 16.67% 36.34.95% without card record 62 0.00% 5 0.00% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 5 0.00% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 36.34.95% 62 0.00% 5 0.00% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 62 0.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 6 10.67% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 67 65.05% 61 62.00% 61 62.0											
with card record without card record 30 83339% 6 16,67% 36 34,95%											
RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RSW										24.05%	
RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All											
Frojected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record on any one day with card record on any one day without card record on any one day without card record no any one day without card record 1056 95.74% 47 4.26% 1103 92.22% 87 93.55% 6 6.45% 93 7.78% 1143 95.57% 53 4.43% 1196		Without Gard 166010	UZ	0.00%	J	0.00%		l	U/	05.05%	
Frojected nr. of workers engaged in construction industry on any one day with card record on any one day with card record on any one day without card record on any one day without card record no any one day without card record 1056 95.74% 47 4.26% 1103 92.22% 87 93.55% 6 6.45% 93 7.78% 1143 95.57% 53 4.43% 1196			DOW	D-#	DOG	D-4	DOI:	D-4	A 11		
on any one day with card record on any one day without card record Total RGW claimed to be carrying out work with skill content DAR>0 DAR=0 DAR = 0 and NOT engaged in the construction industry ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers REW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All Restriction industry Restricti							KGW	Ratio			
on any one day without card record Total RGW claimed to be carrying out work with skill content DAR>0 DAR=0 RSW RSS RGW All All All Retirement	Projected							ļ			
Total											
RGW claimed to be carrying out work with skill content DAR>0		· · ·								7.78%	
DAR = 0 and NOT engaged in the construction industry ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers RSW RSS RGW All 37.61% 37		Total	1143	95.57%	53	4.43%			1196		
DAR = 0 and NOT engaged in the construction industry ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers RSW RSS RGW All 37.61% 37											
DAR = 0 and NOT engaged in the construction industry ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers RSW RSS RGW All 37.61% 37	RGW clai	med to be carrying out work with skill content					RGW				
DAR = 0 and NOT engaged in the construction industry ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers RSW RSS RGW All 37.61% 36.58% 47.80% 37.61% 102 88.70% 13 11.30% 115								0.42%			
DAR = 0 and NOT engaged in the construction industry ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers 36.58% 47.80% 37.61% 37.61% 102 88.70% 13 11.30% 115 115 115 14.80% 125.00% 12 9.02% 12 9.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 12 19.02% 13 10.00% 14 100.00% 15 1								0.50%			
ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers							•				
ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers											
ratio not in the construction industry projected nr. of workers	DAR = 0 :	and NOT engaged in the construction industry	RSW		RSS		RGW		All		
The image of the	2 0 6			36 58%		47 80%			, 111	37 61%	
Retirement 24 23.20% 0 0.00% RSS Ratio RGW RGW RATIO RGW			102		10				115	57.01/0	
Retirement RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All		projected III. Of WORKERS	102	00.70%	13	11.30%			113		
Retirement RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All											
Retirement 24 23.20% 0 0.00% 24 18.05%		main reasons for not engaged	DOW	Deti-	DCC	Deti-	DCM	Deti-	۸.,		
Could not find work 51 50.20% 3 25.00% 54 40.60%		D. C.					KGW	ratio		10.5==:	
Work hardship 3 3.10% 3 25.00% 6 4.51% Health/family/study issues 9 8.70% 3 25.00% 12 9.02% Others 15 14.80% 3 25.00% 18 13.53% key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%								ļ			
Health/family/study issues 9 8.70% 3 25.00% 12 9.02% Others 15 14.80% 3 25.00% 18 13.53% key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%											
Others 15 14.80% 3 25.00% 18 13.53% key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%					3						
Others 15 14.80% 3 25.00% 18 13.53% key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%		Health/family/study issues	9	8.70%	3	25.00%			12	9.02%	
key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%		Others	15	14.80%	3	25.00%			18	13.53%	
RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%		<u> </u>									
RSW Ratio RSS Ratio RGW Ratio All Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%		key factors claimed by latent workers to attract them to return to the industry									
Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%		, oraniou of ration normal to did and thom to rotatin to the middelly									
Could find work 5 75.00% 1 100.00% 6 75.00%			DCM	Dotio	Dec	Datio	DC\\\	Datio	ΛII	1	
		Could find work					KGW	NdIIU		75.000/	
Could not find work 2 25.00% 0 0.00% 2 25.00%											
		Could not find work	2	25.00%	0	0.00%		l	2	25.00%	

 $^{^{\}star}$ Small sample size in RSW/RSS. Results shall be interpreted with caution.